



TECHNOLOGY
CENTRE PRAGUE


30
YRS
1994-2024

TEAMING 2023 - 2024 CALL OUTCOMES, FAQs AND EVALUATORS' COMMENTS

Anna Vosečková, NCP WIDERA, NCP EIT
CZ-SK webinar on TEAMING 2025 call
20 November 2024, Bratislava and Prague

TEAMING FOR EXCELLENCE

CALL 2023-2024
OUTCOMES




OVERVIEW

- HORIZON-WIDERA-2023-ACCESS-01-01
- Opening: 10 January 2023
- Deadline 1st stage: **12 April 2023**
- Deadline 2nd stage: **7 March 2024**
- Overall indicative budget: M€ 174
- Budget per project: M€ 8 – 15
- Project duration: up to 6 years
- Consortium: minimum 1 + 1
- Expected no. of projects: 18



TEAMING STAGE 1



SCORING

- The threshold for both criteria is 4.
- The overall threshold (applying to the sum of the two individual scores) will be set for the call at a level that allowed the total requested budget of proposals admitted to stage 2 be **as close as possible to 3 times the available budget (and not below 2.5 times the budget)**:
 - HORIZON-WIDERA-2023-ACCESS-01-01-two-stage Teaming for Excellence (Stage 1): **8.5 points**

GENERALISED FEEDBACK AFTER STAGE 1

- In order to best ensure equal treatment, successful stage 1 applicants **do not receive the evaluation summary reports (ESRs)** for their proposals, but this generalised feedback with information and tips for preparing the full proposal.

NUMBER OF PROJECTS IN TEAMING STAGE 1


- Submitted 125 (2 ineligible)
- Evaluated 123
- **Main list 35 (threshold 8,5)**
- Below threshold 88

- No reserve list at Stage 1
- ESR only for rejected proposals


SCORE OF RETAINED PROJECTS		SCORE OF REJECTED PROJECTS	
NO. OF PROJECTS	SCORE	NO. OF PROJECTS	SCORE
3	10	1	8,5
3	9,5	17	8
12	9	10	7,5
17	8,5	18	7
		16	6,5
		11	6
		10	5,5
		3	4
		2	3



PROJECT COORDINATORS – AFTER STAGE 1



TEAMING STAGE 2



NUMBER OF PROJECTS IN TEAMING STAGE 2


▪ Submitted	34	CZ 4	SK 1
▪ Evaluated	33		
▪ Evaluators	5 per project		
▪ Main list	12 (12.5 points min.)	CZ 1	
▪ Reserve list	3		SK 1 (recently moved to the main list)
▪ Funded projects	13	CZ 1	SK 1
▪ Below available budget	13	CZ 3	
▪ Below threshold	5		
▪ Project duration	72 months		
▪ Lowest project budget	9 000 000 €		

TEAMING STAGE 2 PROJECTS SCORING


RETAINED		RESERVE LIST		BELOW AVAILABLE BUDGET		BELOW THRESHOLD	
PROJECTS	SCORE	PROJECTS	SCORE	PROJECTS	SCORE	PROJECTS	SCORE
3	14,	2	12	2	12	2	9,5
2	13,5			3	11,5	1	8,5
2	13			1	11	2	8
5	12,5			2	10,5		
1	12			4	10		



PARTICIPANTS IN TEAMING 2023 CALL – STAGE 2 (154 IN TOTAL, 55 ON THE MAIN LIST) (IN ALPHABETIC ORDER)



PROJECT COORDINATORS – STAGE 2



TEAMING 2024 FUNDED PROJECTS

ACRONYM	TITLE	PANEL	COUNTRY
PMPC	Centre of Excellence for Precision Medicine in Pediatric Care	MED	LV
TRIO-VI CoE	Translational Research and Innovation in Ophthalmology Vision - CoE	MED	CY
CLARA	Center for Artificial Intelligence and Quantum Computing in System Brain Research	MED	CZ
QSciTec	Center of Excellence in Quantum Computing for Science and Technology	MAT	CY
INESCTEC.OCEAN	Centre of Excellence in Ocean Research and Engineering	ENV	PT
CELESTE	Cutting Edge Leap to Excellence in Space and Optics TEchnologies	ENG	ES
H2START	Green Innovations in Hydrogen for Sustainable Energy Transition	PHY	BG
HERON	HERON Center of Excellence in Robotics	ENG	EL
MARBLE	CoE in Maritime Robotics and Technologies for Sustainable Blue Economy	ENG	HR
TEAM-NANO	Teaming for Capacity Development and Synergies in Micro-nanofabrication and Flexible Electronics for Widespread Impact	ENG	TR
Astrocent Plus	Astrocent Plus -- Particle Astrophysics Science and Technology Centre	ENG	PL
P4Health	Centre of Excellence for Precise Phenotyping and BioDataBanking	MED	PL
lorAI	Low Resource Artificial Intelligence	MAT	SK



FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS



- comprehensive document with TEAMING 2025 Frequently asked questions (FAQs)
- not published at TEAMING 2025 call web page on FTOP as the call is not open yet
- currently to be found at the TEAMING 2023-2024 call but EC updated them in August 2024



MAIN SHORTCOMINGS AFTER STAGE 1

—
GENERALISED FEEDBACK ON FTOP FOR
SUCCESSFUL PROJECTS



EXCELLENCE (1)

STRATEGIC VISION

- too broadly defined, scope and ambitions too wide-reaching and insufficiently focused
- state-of-the-art not suitably referenced; advancement beyond the state-of-the-art not convincingly demonstrated
- novel and innovative aspects not sufficiently highlighted
- approaches to achieving the stated goals not properly defined

CHALLENGES

- specific challenges for both the research discipline and/or the region not fully discussed
- some proposals address either scientific or operational challenges, but not both

HUMAN RESOURCES

- staff recruitment and retention approaches not appropriately addressed
- lack of concrete and attractive incentives to recruit and retain staff

EXCELLENCE (2)

AUTONOMY

- decision-making mechanisms, distribution of responsibilities, management bodies and independence from existing structure(s) not adequately addressed

SYNERGIES

- existing or potential synergies with EU/national/regional funds and policies not clearly or sufficiently identified
- no adequate description of how projects will work with or add value to policy instruments

OBJECTIVES

- measurability of the objectives often not assured
- no or insufficient number of suitable indicators (KPIs) to verify achievement
- objectives not sufficiently linked to subsequent actions and activities

PARTNERSHIP

- interaction and mutual benefits between coordinator and advanced partner(s) not always appropriately described
- engagement and commitment of the advanced partner(s) not fully demonstrated

IMPACT

REQUIREMENTS, BARRIERS, MITIGATIONS


- inadequate analysis of barriers to impact achievement
- lack of well-defined and robust mitigation measures

LONG-TERM SELF-SUSTAINABILITY

- insufficiently convincing plans for long-term sustainability
- insufficient leverage of business opportunities / IP exploitation

MOST FREQUENT EVALUATORS' COMMENTS

—
STAGE 1 UNSUCCESSFUL PROJECTS



EXCELLENCE


- proposal does not
 - ❖ provide enough detail in its description, making it difficult to assess its real strategic vision and credibility
 - ❖ describe with credible and concrete references how the complementary funding would be obtained
 - ❖ adequately describe how the autonomy of the CoE will be achieved
- way of achieving objectives is not clearly supported by a review of the state of the art
- synergies with national funding and strategies are not described in adequate detail
- human resources and recruitment issues are not adequately addressed
- ambition of the proposal is modest, both in the objective of creating a CoE and in the economic needs and partners

IMPACT


- proposal does not address in sufficient depth or width limited ambition the growth of the CoE activity
- adequate measures of socio-economic outreach are not clearly presented.
- planned impact on the national R&I environment of the host country and local region has not been elaborated in sufficient data
- proposal does not clearly explain the impact at institutional and national level of the results expected from the implementation of the CoE.
- relevant vision concerning long-term sustainability of the Centre of Excellence is not fully described

MOST FREQUENT EVALUATORS' COMMENTS

—
STAGE 2 UNSUCCESSFUL PROJECTS



Seminář k výzvě TEAMING 2023-2024



Kdy: 13.02.2023 12:00 - 16:00
Kde: Technologické centrum Praha

Akce se zaměří na přípravu projektů do výzvy nástroje TEAMING 2023-2024, zvláště na 1. kolo výzvy. Představeny budou výstupy výzvy 2021-2022 a jeden český projekt, který v ní uspěl, dále podrobně obsah výzvy samotné, nejčastější komentáře hodnotitelů a doporučení, jak se vyvarovat chyb. Účastníci získají i informace o doplňkovém financování projektů z OP JAK. Program semináře je přiložen.

Prezentace ze semináře jsou rovněž připojeny.

Pořadatel: Anna Vosečková

E-mail na pořadatele: voseckova@tc.cz

Telefon na pořadatele: +420 606 062 739

Soubory:



IMPORTANT ISSUES



TEAMING STAGE 1

- Proposal form **CSA stage 1**: Part B - 10 pages

- **SPECIFIC TEMPLATE FOR TEAMING STAGE 1**
 1. Excellence
 - 1.1 Objectives (2 pages)
 - 1.2 Coordination and/or support measures and methodology (5 pages)
 2. Impact
 - 2.1 Project's pathways towards impact (3 pages)



Horizon Europe Programme

**Specific Application Form (HE 1st stage CSA)
HORIZON-WIDERA-2023-ACCESS-01-01-two-stage**

Project proposal – Technical description (Part B)

Version 1.0
12 January 2023

- **NO budget table in stage 1, only Total requested grant amount**

STAGE 1 - PART B

Proposal template Part B: technical description

TITLE OF THE PROPOSAL

[This document is tagged. Do not delete the tags; they are needed for processing.] #@APP-FORM-HECSA1ST@#

1. Excellence #@REL-EVA-RE@#

1.1 Objectives #@PRJ-OBJ-PO@#

Insert here text for your proposal

#§PRJ-OBJ-PO§#

1.2 Coordination and/or support measures and methodology #@CON-MET-CM@# #@COM-PLE-CP@#

Insert here text for your proposal

#§CON-MET-CM§# #§COM-PLE-CP§# #§REL-EVA-RE§#

2. Impact #@IMP-ACT-IA@#

2.1 Project's pathways towards impact

Insert here text for your proposal

ADMINISTRATIVE FORMS – PART A

ncpwideranet.eu

Administrative Forms

Part A





QUESTIONS?

Anna Vosečková
WIDERA NCP, EIT NCP

+420 606 062 739

voseckova@tc.cz

www.tc.cz